1			Judge Leighton
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO	CD05 5022DD1
11	Plaintiff,) NO.	CR05-5823RBL
12	v.)) ORDI	ER
13	MICHAEL JORDAN,))	
14	THOMAS DUNIGAN, and MARKUS MOORE,)	
15	Defendants.)	
16			
17	THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon a motion by defendant Jordan for a		
18	continuance of the trial, and the Court being fully advised in the matter and having considered the		
19	motion and attached affidavit of defense counsel, and having heard from all parties at the January		
20	26, 2007, hearing concerning the arraignment of the defendants on the Fourth Superseding		
21	Indictment and the Pretrial Conference,		
22	THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that:		
23	(1) a Fourth Superseding Indictment, which added a new charge of First Degree Felony		
24	Murder as to all three defendants, was returned on January 24, 2007; and		
25	(2) due to the need of counsel for defendant Jordan to explore issues of some complexity,		
26	including all relevant issues and defenses applicable to the case in general and the new charge and		
27	some new discovery in particular, it appears that defendant Jordan could not be fully prepared for		
28			

1	trial as scheduled on February 5, 2007;		
2			
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
4	(1) the trial date is continued from February 5, 2007 to February 26, 2007;		
5	(2) the resulting period of delay from January 26, 2007 through February 26, 2007 is		
6	excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice		
7	served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in		
8	a speedy trial;		
9	(3) the resulting period of delay from January 26, 2007 through February 26, 2007 is also		
10	excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B), because the defense needs		
11	time to explore issues of some complexity, including all relevant issues and defenses applicable to		
12	the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial		
13	proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits previously set for this case; and		
14	(4) defendants Thomas Dunigan and Markus Moore, over their objection, are included in		
15	this Order pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED this 1st day of February, 2007?		
17	RONALD B. LEIGHTON		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
19	Presented by:		
20	Tresented by.		
21	<u>s/</u> GREGORY A. GRUBER		
22	Assistant United States Attorney		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			